## REMARKS

These amendments and remarks are being filed in response to the final Office Action mailed November 15, 2007 (the "Office Action"). At the time of the Office Action, claims 12-23 were pending, with 15 and 20-22 withdrawn from consideration. The Office Action rejected all of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), non-statutory double patenting grounds, or both. The rejections and response thereto are set forth fully below.

By this Amendment, dependent claim 17 is cancelled and claims 12 and 23 amended to incorporate the subject matter of cancelled claim 17. As this amendment simply incorporates the subject matter of claim 17, which was already considered, Applicants respectfully request entry and consideration of this amendment. No new matter is added.

## Claim Rejections for Provisional Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

In the Office Action, a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection was maintained against claims 12, 13 (in part), 14, 16-19 and 23 based on the combination of U.S. Applications 11/757,089 and 11/757,128 in view of Konikoff. Applicants indicated that these application numbers were accidentally created and did not believe that they were active.

A review of PAIR for U.S. Application No. 11/757,128 demonstrates that on September 19, 2007, the status of this application was changed to "misassigned application number" and the transaction history indicates: "duplicate case has been deactivated." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection based on U.S. Application 11/757,128 be withdrawn.

Although U.S. Application No. 11/757,089 is identical to 11/757,128, it was not identified as a misassigned application number. On December 12, 2007, Applicants filed an express abandonment of the '089 Application. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection based on U.S. Application 11/757,089 be withdrawn.

Application No. 10/725,659 Amendment B Attorney Docket No. 4034.003 Page 6 of 11

\_\_\_\_\_/

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 12, 13 (in part), 14, 16-19 and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,122,418 issued to Nakane *et al.* (hereinafter "Nakane") in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,142,521 issued to Konikoff (hereinafter "Konikoff").

Before addressing the cited references, Applicants wish to review the claimed invention as recited in amended claim 12.

- 12. (currently amended) A cosmetic composition containing an electret material which comprises
- 0.1 to 10% by weight of a cosmetically acceptable, solid electret material with a particle size of 0.05 to  $100 \,\mu m$ , which electret material has an induced permanent dipole moment and a permanent electric dipole field with a field strength of 500 to  $10^7 \, \text{Vm}^{-1}$ , the percentage data being relative to the total weight of the composition, and

furthermore comprising cosmetic carrier substances, cosmetic auxiliaries, further cosmetic active agents or a mixture thereof, and

a cosmetic active agent, selected from the group consisting of

a product containing Vitamin A and is added in an amount to impart at least 0.1% Vitamin A to the overall composition,

a product containing Vitamin E, which product is added in an amount to impart at least 0.1% Vitamin E to the overall composition,

a product containing Creatine, or

a mixture thereof.

The claimed invention is drawn to a cosmetic composition that, when compared to a cosmetic composition that does not include the claimed electret materials, exhibits improved absorption of Vitamin A, Vitamin E and Creatine through the skin, *see* Specification, paragraph [0017]-[0019]. The claimed cosmetics include 0.1 – 10 wt-% electret material and specified amounts of Vitamin A and Vitamin E. These specific amounts and ratios of ingredients cause skin absorption to increase by at least 25%, *see* Specification, paragraphs [0017]-[0019]. This improved absorption of cosmetically active ingredients was a surprising result to the Applicants and was not disclosed or suggested by any of the cited references, whether alone or in combination.

The aim of the present invention is to significantly improve the absorbing capacity of skin cells for active agents [0005]. This was achieved ([00018]-[00020]) by placing electret particles in very close contact with skin cells where each individual particle has a dipole moment

of 500-10<sup>7</sup> V/m. Any dipole-dipole interaction takes place between the dipoles of the electret and the natural dipoles of the skin, such as cell membranes, proteins, peptides, amino acids, electrolytes etc. Such a close contact with dipole-dipole interactions is possible due to the particles having the claimed dimensions.

Applicants note that skin is a structurally complex, relatively thick membrane. Molecules, such as vitamins and creatine, moving from the environment into and through intact skin must first penetrate the stratum corneum and any material on its surface. Such molecules must then penetrate the viable epidermis, the papillary dermis, and the capillary walls into the blood stream or lymph channels to be absorbed through the skin and into the organism. Molecules, such as vitamins and creatine, must overcome a different resistance to penetration in each type of tissue. Transport through the skin membrane is thus a complex phenomenon. However, it is the cells of the stratum corneum, which present the primary barrier to absorption of topical compositions containing active agents, including vitamins and creatine. In contrast, the skin barrier function is severely compromised when dealing with an open wound and healing is a different mechanism entirely.

Turning now to the Nakane reference. Nakane is drawn to a *composite powder* formed from a <u>spherical core powder</u> covered with a <u>coating powder</u> having an average particle size diameter that is one-fifth or less of the average particle size diameter of the spherical core powder, *see* Nakane, Abstract. The composite powder in Nakane can be used as part of a skin treatment agent, such as a sunburn preventing cosmetic and as a deodorant, *see id*.

Applicants note that amended claim 12 requires both 0.1-10 wt-% electret material and at least 0.1 wt-% Vitamin A, at least 0.1 wt-% Vitamin E, Creatine, or a combination thereof. While Nakane does mention that Vitamin A and Vitamin E can be "other components" in a deoderant formulation, Creatine is not mentioned at all, see Nakane, col. 11, ln. 18 – col. 12, ln. 25 (Vitamin A & Vitamin E are at col. 11, ln. 69 – col. 12, ln. 1).

Nakane discloses that the composite powder may be included in skin treatment agents, makeup type cosmetics, sunburn prevention cosmetics, and deoderants. Of these four embodiments, TEFLON® is only disclosed for use in sunburn preventing cosmetics, *see* Nakane, col. 10, ln. 19-29. Of the four embodiments, Vitamins A and E are only disclosed for use in deoderants, *see* Nakane, col. 11, ln. 69 – col. 12, ln. 1 The list of possible doederant components

Application No. 10/725,659 Amendment B Attorney Docket No. 4034.003 Page 8 of 11

includes more than 100 specific materials and compounds, as well as fourteen references to general categories ("other oils and fats," "other waxes," etc.). Nakane also lists more than eighteen materials, including the broad category polyesters, as options for the core resin powder.

No mention is made of specific ranges of Nakane's "other ingredients;" however, two examples include one of the three claimed active ingredients. Examples 7 and 23 disclose a facial foundation and a sunburn preventing facial composition, respectively. Example 7 discloses a facial foundation containing 15 wt-% of a titanium oxide covered spherical cellulose composite powder and 0.05 wt-% vitamin E, see Nakane, col. 15, ln. 35 – col. 16, ln. 12. Example 23 discloses a sunburn prevention facial composition containing 20 wt-% of a zinc oxide covered nylon composite powder and 0.05 wt-% vitamin E, see Nakane, col. 24, ln. 39-59. Even if the powder were TEFLON®, the amounts of both the powder and the vitamin E are outside the claimed range.

Even without considering the fact that Nakane does not disclose or suggest a TEFLON® electret, the vast number of specific materials and compounds and the large number of possible resin powders for the core creates an incredibly large number of possible combinations (at least 1800 if consideration is only given to the 100 "other ingredients" listed and the 18 specific core resin powders listed) from which a person of ordinary skill in the art must select in order to obtain the combination of TEFLON® and Vitamin E. This does not even consider the claimed amounts of these ingredients. From this large impossible large number of options, the rejection requires the combination of (i) a resin, TEFLON®, that is only mentioned twice in the entire Nakane disclsoure, and (ii) a vitamin, vitamin E, that is only mentioned three times in the entire Nakane disclosure, (iii) where the only amount of vitamin E disclosed by Nakane is outside the claimed range, (iv) where the examples using vitamin E include a larger amount of a resin than that claimed, and (v) the examples using vitamin E use a resin different from that claimed. Even using this level of picking and choosing, the rejection still requires a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the TEFLON®, for some unknown reason, in a manner that enhanced absorption of vitamin A, vitamin E or creatine, through intact skin. However, there is no motivation to make such a modification because, prior to the filing of Applicants' application, it simply was not known that electrets could be used to enhance absorption of vitamin A, vitamin E, creatine, or any other supplement.

Application No. 10/725,659 Amendment B Attorney Docket No. 4034.003 Page 9 of 11

{WP466894;1}

Even if each element of the claimed cosmetic was sufficiently described by the combination of Nakane and Konikoff, there would be no motivation to use a powder with the claimed electret properties. First, there was no known benefit of electret treatment of intact skin. Second, there is nothing to disclose or suggest that the amounts of powder and active agents could improve absorption. Third, there is nothing to disclose or suggest that TEFLON® powder would improve absorption through intact skin. Finally, there is nothing to disclose or suggest that TEFLON®, combined with the claimed amounts of the specific active ingredients would lead to improved absorption through intact skin. Absent a recognition that the amounts of powder and active ingredients could impact absorption of the active agents through skin, these cannot be considered results-effective variables.

Even if Nakane did disclose the proper amounts of the claimed ingredients to achieve enhanced absorption of the claimed cosmetic active agents, there wound be no motivation to combine the cited references to produce the claimed cosmetic compositions with electret TEFLON® particles. Nakane was developed in order to capitalize on the benefits of the two powders while minimizing their drawbacks by combining them to form a composite powder, *see* Nakane, col. 4, ln. 64 - col. 5, ln. 9; col. 12, ln. 57 - col. 13, ln. 33. Nakane explains that many powders, for example hydroxyapatite, provide a significant benefit for skin care, but do not spread evenly over the skin, *see* Nakane, col. 2, ln. 5-22. The powders that provide a significant skin benefit are not generally spherical. In contrast, <u>spherical</u> powders exhibit improved skin smoothness but are generally poor at hiding wrinkles when applied to the skin, *see* Nakane, col. 2, ln. 23-59.

Nakane attempts to leverage the advantages of both types of powders by forming a composite powder with a spherical core powder as a base that is covered with a significantly smaller diameter coating powder (such as hydroxyapatite), see Nakane, col. 4, ln. 64 – col. 5, ln. 9. The result is a generally spherical composite powder that exhibits the cosmetic properties of the coating powder, but spreads evenly like the spherical powders. Nakane discloses that the composite powder feels smooth and provides improved hiding power, see Nakane, col. 12, ln. 57 – col. 13, ln. 12.

As noted in the Office Action, Nakane discloses that TEFLON, polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), may be used as the core powder, *see* Nakane, col. 10, ln. 23-30; col. 28, ln. 13-14.

Application No. 10/725,659 Amendment B Attorney Docket No. 4034.003 Page 10 of 11

Nakane does not discuss any benefits of using TEFLON. However, Nakane clearly discloses that TEFLON is used as the core material, *i.e.* it is spherical. Thus, one of skill in the art would understand that TEFLON was selected because it is readily formed into the spherical form necessary for the core of Nakane's composite powders. As noted in the Office Action, Nakane does not disclose or suggest using TEFLON as an electret material.

Nakane is drawn to a composite powder that provides the benefits of both the spherical core powder and the coating powder. Nakane is not related to wound care. Konikoff's disclosure of TEFLON® electrets discloses a wound healing benefit, but no other benefits of a TEFLON® electret. In particular, there is no indication a TEFLON® electret provided any benefit to intact skin. As Nakane is not related to wound care, and adding an electret charge does not benefit the powder feel or hiding power, there would be no motivation to modify Nakane to include the electret TEFLON® disclosed in Konikoff.

As noted above, Nakane's reason for using PTFE is based nearly exclusively on the morphology of small PTFE particles. Because shape is the relevant property, there is nothing in Nakane or elsewhere to motivate one skilled in the art to use an electret PTFE core in the composite powders disclosed therein. Similarly, Because Konikoff deals with wound healing, there is nothing in Konikoff's disclosure to motivate one skilled in the art to combine electret materials with active ingredients to provide *improved absorption of active ingredients*. In fact, Konikoff does not mention vitamin, cream, lotion, medicine, medicant, medication. Clearly, there is no motivation to combine the cited references to produce the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the current obviousness rejection.

Even if there were motivation to combine the cited references, there would be no expectation that the claimed combination would produce enhanced absorption of active ingredients. Although Konikoff discloses that it is known that small electric currents improve wound repair, it states that the reason for this "remains unclear," see Konikoff, col. 1, ln. 21-24. Because the mechanism that causes small electronic currents to improve would healing is unknown, a person of skill in the art would not be able to predict whether small electronic currents would impact absorption of active ingredients or, for that matter, anything other than skin healing.

Application No. 10/725,659

Amendment B

Attorney Docket No. 4034.003

Page 11 of 11

The improved absorption of the cosmetically active ingredients attributable to the

presence of the electret material was completely unexpected and surprising to the inventors of

the claimed invention. As noted above, there was nothing in the cited references that disclosed

or suggested this interaction. This secondary indicia provides substantial evidence of

nonobviousness.

Conclusion

For at least the reasons set forth above, the independent claims are believed to be

allowable. In addition, the dependent claims are believed to be allowable due to their

dependence on an allowable base claim and for further features recited therein. The application

is believed to be in condition for immediate allowance. If any issues remain outstanding,

Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned if it is believed that a telephone interview

would expedite the prosecution of the application to an allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 25, 2008

Stephan A. Pendorf. Reg.

Gregory M. Lefkowitz, Keg. No. 56,216

AKERMAN SENTERFITT

222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 400

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401-6183

Telephone: 561.653.5000

Attorney Docket No.: 4034.003